

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****United States Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

09/212,292 12/16/98 JUN

S P55281

EXAMINER

ROBERT E. BUSHNELL
ATTORNEY-AT-LAW
1522 K STREET, N.W., SUITE 300
WASHINGTON DC 20005-1202

WM02/0618

ZAMANI, A	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
-----------	----------	--------------

2674
DATE MAILED:

06/18/01

13

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/212,292	Applicant(s) Sung-Gon Jun
Examiner Ali Zamani	Art Unit 2674

— The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address —

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Apr 2, 2001

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle* 35 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above, claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claims _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.

11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) approved b) disapproved.

12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).

a) All b) Some* c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

Attachment(s)

15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____

16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____

20) Other: _____

Art Unit: 2674

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.
2. Claims 1- 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miichi (US Pat. No. 5,880,745).
3. In regard to claims 1-10, Miichi discloses a flat panel display information including video data synchronizing data from a host (15) processing digital in a serial digital communication, comprising: a receiver (45) for reconstructing said display information; a digital-to analog converter (50) for converting said video data to a corresponding video signal and an output terminal for externally transferring said signal and analog video signal to an analog display (Fig. 5, col. 8, lines 41-66). Miichi teaches that the signals inputted from the personal computer (15) through the cable (17) are separated into R (red), G (green), and B (blue) image signals, a horizontal (H) and a vertical (V) synchronizing signal in the input circuit (35)(Fig. 4, col. 8, lines 6-67). Miichi also teaches that the image signal source is realized by a personal, and the personal computer generates an image signal on the basis of an input signal from the connected input device means and the control signal corresponding to the image signal source

Art Unit: 2674

can be supplied to the image signal source regardless of the types of image signal source. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention not to utilize any analog-to-digital converter or phased-locked loop circuit for signal conversion in order to provide a flat panel display which displays digital display information supplied by a digital data processing device.

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Lim, Bang and Suga et al. are made of record to show various types of flat panel display information.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed on 04-02-01 with respect to claims 1-10 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

On page 6, the applicant argue that Miichi does not teach a way to accomplish Miichi's result without using an ADC and PLL. However, examiner disagrees because Miichi teaches the conversion method for converting the signals corresponding to the connected personal computer (15) (col. 9, lines 36-65) and (see Fig. 5, col. 8, lines 41-67) and (col. 11, lines 13-45) and (see col. 15, lines 66).

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2674

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ali Zamani whose telephone number is (703) 308-6414. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard A. Hjerpe, can be reached on (703) 305-4709. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-9051.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Ali Zamani

June 15, 2001



RICHARD HJERPE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600